TEXTBOOKS:

COURSE DESCRIPTION: This course is designed to explore the complex interaction between method and theory in archaeological interpretation. Readings, lectures and discussions focus on fundamental methodological concepts and theoretical issues. The four short Case Study papers encourage analytical thinking and provide an opportunity for the practical application of the principles covered in class and readings. Leading class discussion as part of a team requires the deconstruction of readings and the creation of questions designed to generate debate. The final paper is expected to demonstrate the student’s ability to synthesize theoretical and methodological approaches in a critical analysis of an archaeological problem. Students will formulate research questions, identify and interpret material culture patterns and explore the complex relationship between time, space and material culture in archaeological interpretation.

The on-line course syllabus includes informative links: [http://www.uwm.edu/~barnold/](http://www.uwm.edu/~barnold/)

REQUIREMENTS, EVALUATION & GRADING:

1. **Three** short Case Study papers/written exercises (*five pages each, minimum*). See attached Paper Guidelines: **30% of course grade**.

2. **One** book review (*five pages minimum*). Choose a recently published (2005 to present) monograph on an archaeological subject. For a template, see the E-Reserve Reader. **15% of course grade**.

3. **Final paper** on one of the themes covered in the course of the semester (*15 pages minimum*). See attached Paper Guidelines: **45% of course grade**.

4. **Student Discussion Leader Pairs**: Twelve student pairs/trios will be assigned the role of leading discussion for the last hour of each class beginning with Week 3 (*February 9*). See Discussion Guidelines: **10% of course grade**.

4. **Attendance and participation**: Attendance and participation are mandatory.

5. **Extra Credit**: **Two points** possible for attendance at **two archaeology-related talks** (see [http://www.uwm.edu/Dept/ArchLab/](http://www.uwm.edu/Dept/ArchLab/) for listings of on-campus lectures this semester).
POLICIES & PENALTIES: Late assignments will be docked two points per day. If you know that you will miss a class, you must inform me asap. Leave a message at the office (x4175) or e-mail me at barnold@uwm.edu before class if you are unable to attend because of illness, especially if you are scheduled to lead discussion! (Viable excuse: Armageddon.)

THEMES AND DUE DATES

Week 1  Introduction: Archaeology Past & Present
Week 2  Archaeological Reasoning: How It's Done
Weeks 3-4  Time, Space & Culture Change
*Feb 16*  Case Study Paper #1 due
Weeks 5-6  Economic Archaeology: Bringing Home the Grubs
*March 2*  Final Paper Topics due!
Week 7  Social Archaeology: Putting Faces on Past Populations I
Week 8  Social Archaeology: Putting Faces on Past Populations II
*March 16*  Case Study Paper #2 due
Week 9  Spring Break: No Class!!
Weeks 10-12  Cognitive Archaeology: Structure and Belief
*April 13*  Case Study Paper #3 due
Weeks 13-14  Materials Analysis: Getting from Statics to Dynamics
Week 15  Archaeology in its Socio-Political Context
*May 4*  Book review due
Week 16  Final Paper Due! *May 11, In Class*

READER ASSIGNMENTS: All reading assignments are either in the course textbooks or in the e-Reserve Reader available at http://www.uwm.edu/Library/. Readings are in alphabetical order by author; from Week 3 on, the week by which each article is to be read is indicated in parentheses at the end of the citation: (3) = Week 3.

Week 1  Introduction: Archaeology Past & Present

In Johnson Chs. 10 and 11


---

**Week 2**

**Archaeological Reasoning: How It's Done**

**In Johnson Chs. 1, 2, and 3**


---

**Weeks 3-4**

**Time, Space & Culture Change**

**In Johnson Chs. 5 & 9**


5. Thomas, David H. 1991 *Archaeology: Down to Earth* Ch. 4. New York: Harcourt.(3)

---

**Weeks 5-6  Economic Archaeology: Bringing Home the Grubs**


---

**Weeks 7-8  Social Archaeology: Putting Faces on Past Populations**

**In Johnson Ch. 8**


**WEEK 9: SPRING BREAK NO CLASS!**

**Weeks 10-12**  
**Cognitive Archaeology: Structure & Belief**

**In Johnson Chs. 6 and 7**


**Weeks 13-14**  
**Archaeological Analysis: Getting from Statics to Dynamics**

**In Johnson Ch. 4**


5. Dobres, Marcia-Anne and John Robb 2000 Ch. 1 Agency in archaeology: paradigm or platitude? In Marcia-Anne Dobres and John Robb (eds) *Agency in Archaeology*, pp. 3-17. New York: Routledge. (14)

**Weeks 15-16**

**Archaeology in its Socio-Political Context**

In Johnson Chs. 12 and 13

1. Arnold, Bettina 1990 The past as propaganda: Totalitarian archaeology in Nazi Germany. *Antiquity* 64:464-78. (15)


**********************************************************************************

**STUDENT DISCUSSION PAIR GUIDELINES**

1. Both discussion partners will choose a specific approach or topic central to the readings assigned for the week in which you will be leading the hour-long discussion. **Examples:** Identifying gender, the individual, belief systems, or ethnicity in the archaeological record. **Examples:** Marxist archaeology, the cultural historical approach, archaeology and nationalism. **Let me know via e-mail by the Monday of your discussion week what perspective you plan to take with your questions!**

2. Both partners will read all the assigned reading associated with that approach or topic and will identify and read at least **THREE** sources each that are not in the assigned readings. Prepare an **Additional Readings Bibliography** (this should contain **six** sources, **three** contributed by each of you. **Be sure to indicate which sources you contributed**). E-mail this list of readings to the class reflector **by the Monday before class.**

3. Generate a list of **at least 10 discussion questions** designed to elicit class participation. **Avoid questions with Yes/No or one-word answers!** Your job is to identify thought-provoking angles to which your classmates will respond. You may choose to critique the approach by identifying both its limitations and its potential and designing questions that will generate strong opinions. The one-page list of discussion questions must be e-mailed to the class reflector **by the Monday before class.** Be sure to plan a strategy whereby each of you is equally involved in leading the discussion.
This can be done by dividing the hour into 30 minute segments, or by alternating questions during discussion.

4. **Think critically!** This involves more than simply shooting holes in someone else's theory or approach. Demonstrate that you are able to see more than one side of an issue. Be prepared to suggest alternatives. Each of you may choose opposite sides of an argument related to the theme, playing Devil’s Advocate during the class discussion. You may generate questions that critique an approach, or you may generate questions that are designed to elicit examples of cases in which an approach succeeds.

5. Some rules of engagement: Belittling classmates whose opinions differ from your own is not the goal here. Disagreeing with someone without resorting to ridicule is a useful life skill. Find a way to ensure that everyone in the class actively participates in discussion at least once.

6. Many of you will someday be in front of a class of students, attempting to generate an enthusiastic and engaged response through precisely this sort of Q&A. Use this opportunity to learn how to generate the kinds of questions that elicit debate and facilitate learning.

---

**PAPER GUIDELINES**

1. Papers **must** be typed and double-spaced (computer or typewriter). Margins are to be no greater than 1”. **Paginate all pages beginning with Page 2!**

2. Short Case Study papers **must** be 5 pages long, minimum (there is no maximum page number). The case studies you will be analyzing and interpreting will require you to apply some of the archaeological principles and approaches covered in class and in your readings. These must be your own work, although you may consult source material outside the class readings if you wish. Be sure to include a Bibliography of all references cited, if any. You are encouraged to produce your own tables, charts or graphs based on the data included in the case studies.

3. Final paper: The final paper must be a minimum of 15 pages. You are expected to explore one of the course themes further in the final paper. This includes a) citations from the reading and b) original ideas/thoughts/opinions, backed up by cogent arguments. You must cite at least TEN sources in constructing your argument, listed in an attached Bibliography. This is one way to get credit for the reading you have been doing even if you tend to be somewhat reticent in class discussions.

4. When citing sources within the text of your papers, the following rules apply:

Sources which are part of the assigned reading should consist of the author's last name (first initial if there are two authors being cited in the paper with the same last name) followed by the year of the publication, a colon and the page number(s). (This is the standard procedure in anthropological publications). Quotation marks should be used where appropriate, as in the examples below.
Example #1: "The moon is made of green cheese" (McDonald 1989:123).  
Example #2: According to Williams, the moon is made of fried green tomatoes (1988:19-23).

5. If you have experienced a burst of energy and ambition and have done some additional reading not assigned in the syllabus (unlikely but not impossible), then you should cite the authors as above.

6. You should include a bibliography with full references for all citations at the end of your papers!!

7. The course is structured to give you a maximum amount of writing experience. The short paper + final paper format ensures that at least some of the work will be done throughout the semester rather than in a mad rush three days before the last day of classes. Good luck!